# **Return on Investment Calculator**



# **Cost of an Open Position**

DIRECTIONS: Fill in the blanks below and on the following pages for your company or business unit.

Your Compa	ny/	Busines	ss Unit															
Number of Tech	nnica	l Employ	ees														50	
Number of Hire	s Per	12 Mont	h Period														5	
Average Wage o	of Op	en Positic	ons (Fully L	.oaded)												\$ 2	0	/hr
Recruiting a	ind I	Hiring (	Costs															
Advertising Combined expe	ense	s for onli	ne job boa	ards, nev	/spap	er ads, etc.												
5		)	<b>k</b> \$		3500	)	]=											\$17 500
Vacancies			Average	e Cost														<i><b>Q</b>17,000</i>
Internal meeting Staff time to in	<b>gs to</b> itervi	<b>screen a</b> n ew all co	<b>id select ca</b> onsidered	<b>ndidates</b> candidat	es													
25	x		1	hr	x	3	X	(	\$	4	5		/hr	-				\$3,375
Candidates		Length			Pa	articipants		N	lana	geme	nt co	ost						<i><b><i>v</i></b></i> <b><i>v</i><b><i>vv</i></b></b>
Internal meeting Staff time spen	<b>gs to</b> nt rev	<b>select ne</b> viewing a	wemployee	<b>e</b> ering car	ndidat	es												
10	x		1.5	hr	x	3	X	<b>(</b> ]	\$	4	5		/hr	-				¢2 025
Meetings		Length			Pa	articipants		N	lana	geme	nt co	ost						ş2,023
Annual cost for	pree	mployme	ent testing													\$ 1	0200	
<b>Other Internal H</b> Staff time requ	<b>IR Ho</b> iired	<b>urs assoc</b> for other	<b>ciated with</b> r HR proce	<b>these hire</b> dures	es (scr	eening applic	cants	s, s	etting	up inte	rviev	vs, pa	aperv	ork)				
5	x		1	hr	x \$	;	25	5		/ł	nr =							¢1 250
Candidates		Timep	er Candio	date	Co	ost per hou	r											\$1,20U
TOTAL RE	CR	UITIN	IG AND	HIRII	NG (	COST											\$	\$34,350
Divided by num	ber o	of hires															5	
RECRUITI	NG	AND	HIRING	COS	t Pi	ER HIRE												\$6,870

## Staffing/Placement Firm Costs

		) (ð	20	<i>(</i> <b>)</b>	05	0/		
5 Joho fillod bi	v otoffin <i>i</i>	X S	20	/hr x	25	% =		\$50,00
firm	y stannių 1	g Houny wa	ige	Fe	e			
STAFFING	FIRM		R HIRE					\$10,00
On the Job T	raining (	OJT) Costs						
E <b>mployee OJT ()</b> Amount of time	<b>Costs</b> a new em	nployee spends	on in-house t	raining or non-pi	oductive positio	on		
300		x \$	20	/hr =				¢6.00
)JT hours		Loaded sa	alary					\$0,00
Supervisor OJT ( Amount of time	( <b>) Costs Pe</b> staff sper	<b>r Hire</b> nds training or s	supervising ne	w hires (time sp	ent away from a	ctual production)		
150		x \$	36	/hr =				
		Loaded sa	alary					\$5,40
OJT hours								
OJT hours				an mahlan unad f		d of sucdustion	(¢	2000
OJT hours Costof training o	equipment	; production equ	uipment, or con	isumables used fo	or training instea	d of production	\$	2000
OJT hours Cost of training o	equipment	, production equ	uipment, or con	nsumables used for	or training instea	d of production	\$	2000 <b>\$13,40</b>
OJT hours Cost of training o	equipment	, production equ	uipment, or con	isumables used for T PER HIRE TOTAL CO	or training instea	d of production	\$	2000 <b>\$13,40</b>
OJT hours Cost of training o	equipment	, production equ	uipment, or con	T PER HIRE TOTAL CO \$7	DST PER H 0,270	d of production	\$	2000 <b>\$13,40</b>
OJT hours Cost of training of TOTAL ON	equipment I THE J	, production equ IOB TRAIN	uipment, or con	T PER HIRE T OTAL CO \$7	DST PER H 0,270	d of production	\$	2000 \$13,40
OJT hours Cost of training o TOTAL ON Business Research indica	equipment	production equipole of the second sec	al positions ha	T PER HIRE TOTAL CO \$7	DST PER H 0,270	d of production IRE	\$ downtime. Accordin	2000 \$13,40
OJT hours Cost of training of TOTAL ON Business Research indica studies, on aver Since most com	equipment I THE J Impac Ites that u rage, these papies ca	production equ IOB TRAIN	uipment, or con	T PER HIRE TOTAL CO \$7 ave a significant for a typical pro	DST PER H 0,270 impact on overt duction facility.	d of production	\$ downtime. Accordin	2000 \$13,40
OJT hours Cost of training o TOTAL ON Business Research indica studies, on aver Since most com	equipment I THE J Impac Ites that u rage, these panies ca	production equivation equiva	al positions ha % of earnings he, we will star	T PER HIRE TOTAL CO \$7 ave a significant for a typical pro	DST PER H 0,270 impact on overt duction facility. nse and its imp	d of production IRE ime, cycle time, and act on ROI.	\$ downtime. Accordin	2000 \$13,40
OJT hours Cost of training of TOTAL ON Business Research indica studies, on aver Since most com	equipment I THE J Impac Ites that u age, these panies ca	production equivalent DOB TRAIN	al positions ha % of earnings ne, we will star	asumables used for T PER HIRE TOTAL CO \$7 ave a significant for a typical pro rt with that expe	or training instea	d of production IRE ime, cycle time, and act on ROI.	\$ downtime. Accordin	2000 \$13,40
OJT hours Cost of training of TOTAL ON Business Research indica studies, on aver Since most com Overtime Co	equipment I THE J Impac Ites that u rage, these panies ca sts e Per Empl	production equiparts of the second se	al positions ha % of earnings e, we will star	asumables used for T PER HIRE TOTAL CO \$7 ave a significant for a typical pro rt with that expe	DST PER H 0,270	d of production IRE ime, cycle time, and act on ROI.	\$	2000 \$13,40
OJT hours Cost of training of TOTAL ON Business Research indica studies, on aver Since most com Overtime Co Average Overtim Estimate the av	equipment I THE J Impac Ites that u age, these panies ca sts ePer Empl rerage over	production equilibrium equilib	al positions ha % of earnings ne, we will star	asumables used for T PER HIRE TOTAL CO \$7 ave a significant for a typical pro rt with that expen- uction, maintena	or training instea	d of production IRE ime, cycle time, and act on ROI.	\$	2000 \$13,40
OJT hours Cost of training of TOTAL ON Business Research indica studies, on aver Since most com Overtime Co Average Overtime Estimate the av 4 Avg OT hours/week	equipment I THE J Impac Ites that u rage, these panies ca sts ePer Empl rerage ove x \$ wag	production equivalent of the second s	al positions ha % of earnings le, we will star	Asumables used for T PER HIRE TOTAL CO \$7 ave a significant for a typical pro- rt with that expen- uction, maintena 50 ployees	or training instea	d of production IRE ime, cycle time, and act on ROI. rades 52 = per Year	\$	2000 \$13,40 ng to business \$312,00
OJT hours Cost of training of TOTAL ON Business Research indica studies, on aver Since most com Overtime Co Average Overtime Estimate the av 4 Avg OT hours/week	equipment I THE J I TH	production equivalent of the second s	al positions ha % of earnings he, we will star	asumables used for T PER HIRE TOTAL CO \$7 ave a significant for a typical pro rt with that expe uction, maintena 50 ployees	or training instea	d of production IRE ime, cycle time, and act on ROI. rades 52 = per Year	downtime. Accordin	2000 \$13,40 bg to business \$312,00

# **Downtime and Cycle Time**

This ROI calculation may be just the tip of the iceberg in the total cost of the skills gap for your business.

Downtime and cycle time are two hidden impacts from lacking the right workers in the right position. Consider the costs when higher setup or programming time reduces your efficiency. Or when a lack of skilled maintenance workers leads to machines going down longer and more frequently.

To get an estimate of the potential impact, start by entering your revenue.

Annual Revenue	\$	12000000	
10% INCREASE IN DOWNTIME			\$8,640
Research has shown a 10% increase in downtime due to the skills gap. Using the Overall Equip Effectiveness calculation of Availability x Performance x Quality, a 10% increase in downtime le 0.07% decrease in revenue.	oment eads to a		
8% INCREASE IN CYCLE TIME			\$77,760
Research has shown an 8% increase in cycletime due to the skills gap. Using the Overall Equip Effectiveness calculation of Availability x Performance x Quality, an 8% increase in cycletime le decrease in revenue.	ment eads to a 0.6%		
TOTAL COSTS OF DOWNTIME AND CYCLE TIME			\$86,400
Although we aren't adding this total of downtime and cycle time into the overall estimated RO these as "hidden" factors that are negatively impacting your bottom line.	l calculation for y	our company, you sho	ould consider
Possible Savings			
Reduction in Turnover			
Consider an additional perk companies frequently realize from hiring from a better candidate p and they typically stay longer in the position. This benefit is actually realized the following yea trained. Companies report that employee retention may <b>improve by 50% or more</b> when they matches their needs.	pool: These emplo ar because less er hire candidates v	oyees are a better fit nployees need to be vith an industry certif	for the job, hired and fication that
DIRECTIONS: Enter a percent reduction you expect to achieve in turnover for your new, better	-qualified employe	ees.	
Reduction in employee turnover		50	%
Reduction in hiring costs			\$17,175

Reduction in OJT costs

Total savings due to reduction turnover

# **Cost Savings Resulting from an Education Partnership**

Hiring workers is expensive! Building a pipeline of workers with the right skills can dramatically reduce your costs.

By partnering with a community college, you can lay out your minimum and optimal competencies and help establish a recruiting relationship. One of the most important steps you can take is to identify manufacturing certifications that validate the skills needed on the job.

How much difference can this make? Businesses that have partnered with a community college have estimated it can reduce by 50% or more the number of candidates they have to interview.

\$33,500

\$50,675

DIRECTIONS: Enter a percent reduction you expect to achieve from a partnership that helps you build a pipeline of workers with the right skills. (If you're not sure, you may leave the figure at 50% to get an idea of the possible cost savings.)

Reduction in recruiting expenses	50	%

Reduction in interviews required	\$1,688
Reduction in meetings required	\$1,013
Reduction in preemployment testing	\$5,100
Reduction in candidate screening	\$625
Total reduction in Hiring Cost (company-performed)	\$17,176

# Reduction in Hiring Cost (Staffing or Placement Agency)

If you rely on temporary/placement agencies for some or all of your technical hires, that's another potential area where you can save. Some businesses have completely eliminated temporary agencies, and the fees they pay, when they build a robust community college partnership.

**DIRECTIONS:** Enter a percent reduction you expect to achieve in your staffing/placement fees. (If you didn't enter any staffing agency fees before, you can skip this step.)

		¢50.000
Reduction/Elimination of Staffing Agency Fees	100	%

# Reduction in OJT Cost Per Employee

Frequently, better qualified candidates require less on-the-job training to come up to speed once hired. Many companies have reported a 33% reduction in OJT for employees that already possess an industry certification.

DIRECTIONS: Enter a percent reduction you expect to achieve in the training time required for a new employee.

Reduction/Elimination of Employee Training	33	%
Savings due to reduction in employee OJT time		\$9,900
Savings due to reduction in supervisory OJT time		\$8,910
Reduction in OJT costs		\$18,810

#### **Reduction in Overtime Cost**

As you saw in the previous section on Business Impact, overtime needed to cover unfilled positions represents a significant expense. Having a pipeline of qualified candidates is a source of savings that can positively benefit your bottom line.

**TOTAL POSSIBLE SAVINGS** 

#### Savings due to reduction in overtime

# \$167,861

# **Company Investments in Industry Certifications and Workforce Partnerships**

Of course, partnerships with a community college do require an investment. Most companies find the biggest costs are staff time to establish a partnership and manage candidate flows.

**DIRECTIONS:** Use the numbers and formulas provided to calculate business impact. Enter figures you expect for your company, or use the prepopulated numbers that other companies have reported spending.

## **Project Planning Activities**

Typically, the company's initial investment in setting up workforce certification includes time spent by the line management, supervisors, and HR Manager to plan and implement the process.

Planner C	Planner Costs						
\$	60	/hr x	150	hr =			
nner	Salary	Hours					

## **Project Management**

In successful projects where certified workers are being prepared, the company identifies a point person to serve as manager of the project. This person will serve as the point of contact with the company for all aspects of the project.

Project Manager Costs									
\$	45	/hr x	50	hr]=					
Project	Manager Salary	Hours							

#### Workforce Supervision

Costs t	to Oversee Interns/Tr	ainees		
\$	36	/hr x	60	hr
ıper	visor Salary	Hours		

### **Miscellaneous Costs**

Enter other costs you associate with this project, such as equipment donation, travel, or stipends/wages for interns.

Miscellaneous Costs

# TOTAL IMPLEMENTATION COST \$13,410

\$

0

# **Calculating Total Return on Investment (ROI)**

Using the investment and savings numbers from your above work, you now are ready to see an estimated Return on Investment (ROI). for The formula for ROI is:

RETURN - COST OF PROJECT

Your numbers from the previous sections have gone into the table below to calculate your expected ROI from this project.. You can go back to previous sections to change any variables and see how they impact the ROI. When you are satisfied with the results, you can print or save your work.

## **Return on Investment**

		\$13,410					
True Return							
– \$13,410 Total Investment (Cost)	=	\$154,451					
		\$154,451					
÷ \$13,410		11.52					
RETURN TO INVESTMENT RATIO							
	- \$13,410 Total Investment (Cost) + \$13,410 T RATIO	- \$13,410 = Total Investment (Cost) + \$13,410 T RATIO					

# TOTAL RETURN ON INVESTMENT **1152%**

Want to learn more about manufacturing certifications and building community college partnerships? Visit The Manufacturing Institute (http://www.themanufacturinginstitute.org/Skills-Certification/Skills-Certification.aspx).